

MEERKAT TELESCOPE AND DATA ACCESS GUIDELINES

Document number Revision Classification Prepared By SSA-0003C-001 02 Public F. Camilo

Approval Date

28 May 2024

| Organisation | : | NRF (National Research Foundation) |
|---------------------|---|---|
| Facility | 1 | SARAO (South African Radio Astronomy Observatory) |
| Project | 1 | MeerKAT |
| Document Type | 1 | Guidelines and Standards |
| Function/Discipline | 1 | Science, Data and Research Management |



 Doc No:
 SSA-0003C-001

 Rev No:
 02

DOCUMENT APPROVALS

| | Name | Designation | Affiliation | Date | Signature |
|----------------|-------------|--|-------------|-------------|---|
| Prepared By | F. Camilo | Chief Scientist | SARAO | 28 May 2024 | Ame |
| Poviewod By | S. Goedhart | (SARAO) Manager: Science Operations and Commissioning | SKAO | | Sharmila Godhart (May 28, 2024 16:13 GMT+2) |
| Keviewed by K. | K. Cloete | Programme Manager: MeerKAT | SARAO | | Kobus Cloete Kobus Cloete (May 28, 2024 17:05 GMT+2) |
| | S. Gumede | Head: Operations | SARAO | | Scgumede Scgumede (May 28, 2024 16:19 GMT+2) |
| Approved By | P. Maruping | Managing Director | SARAO | | And and and |

DOCUMENT HISTORY

| Revision | Date Of Issue | Prepared By | Comments (e.g. ECN Number or changes to document) |
|----------|---------------|-------------|---|
| 01 | 11 Dec 2019 | F. Camilo | Issued for approval |
| 02 | 28 May 2024 | F. Camilo | Issued for approval |

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

| Published in ALIM and External Service Desk Knowledge Base | |
|--|--|

DOCUMENT SOFTWARE

| Package | | Version | Filename |
|----------------|-------------|---------|---|
| Word Processor | Google Docs | n/a | SSA-0003C-001_Rev02_MeerKAT Telescope and Data Access Guidelines |

COMPANY DETAILS

| | SARAO, Cape Town | SARAO, HartRAO | SARAO, Karoo Astronomy |
|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Name | (Mowbray, Western Cape) | (Hartebeesthoek, Gauteng) | Reserve |
| | | | (Carnarvon, Northern |
| | | | Cape) |
| Physical / | River Park, Gloucester Road | P.O. Box 443, | Posbus 69, |
| Postal | Liesbeek House, Mowbray, | Krugersdorp, | Carnarvon, |
| Address | Cape Town, 7700, South | 1740, South Africa | 8925, South Africa |
| Address | Africa | | |
| Tel. | +27 21 506 7300 | +27 12 301 3100 | +27 21 506 7300 |
| Website | www.sarao.ac.za | www.hartrao.ac.za | www.sarao.ac.za |



 Doc No:
 SSA-0003C-001

 Rev No:
 02

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1 Introduction | 5 |
|--|----|
| 2 Telescope Access Modalities | 5 |
| 2.1 Competed Telescope Time | 5 |
| 2.1.1 Large Survey Projects (LSPs) | 5 |
| 2.1.2 Open Time (OT) | 5 |
| 2.1.3 Director's Discretionary Time (DDT) | 6 |
| 2.2 Non-competed Telescope Time | 6 |
| 2.2.1 Commissioning | 6 |
| 2.2.2 Science verification | 6 |
| 2.2.3 Miscellaneous observing classes | 6 |
| 2.2.4 SARAO Legacy Surveys | 7 |
| 2.2.5 Partner allocations | 7 |
| 2.3 Availability of MeerKAT for Observations | 7 |
| 3 Obtaining Telescope Time | 7 |
| 3.1 Open Time Proposals | 7 |
| 3.1.1 Regular and Large OT proposals | 7 |
| 3.1.2 XLPs | 8 |
| 3.2 DDT Proposals | 9 |
| 3.3 Commensality and Primary Science Goals | 9 |
| 3.3.1 Commensality within one proposal | 9 |
| 3.3.2 Commensality across different projects | 9 |
| 3.3.2.1 User Supplied Equipment in commensal mode | 10 |
| 4 Data Access, Proprietary Periods, and Reporting Requirements | 10 |
| 4.1 Data Quality Assessment and the MeerKAT Archive | 10 |
| 4.2 Data Use and Proprietary Periods | 10 |
| 4.2.1 Competed telescope time | 10 |
| 4.2.1.1 Single-year OT proposals | 11 |
| 4.2.1.2 LSPs and Multi-year OT proposals | 11 |
| 4.2.1.3 DDT projects | 11 |
| 4.2.2 Non-competed telescope time | 11 |
| 4.2.2.1 The MeerKAT Builders List | 11 |
| 4.2.2.2 Commissioning and science verification observations | 11 |
| 4.2.2.3 Miscellaneous observing classes | 12 |
| 4.2.2.4 SARAO legacy surveys | 12 |
| 4.2.2.5 Partner allocations | 12 |
| 4.2.3 Calibrator data | 12 |
| 4.2.4 Technical use of data | 12 |
| 4.3 Reporting Requirements and Reviews | 12 |
| 5 Serendipity and Coordination | 13 |
| 6 Distinguished SARAO Research Fellows | 13 |
| 7 Publications, Acknowledgements, and Advanced Data Products | 14 |
| 7.1 Publications Using MeerKAT Data | 14 |
| 7.2 Acknowledging MeerKAT Data in Publications | 14 |
| 7.3 User-Generated Data Products and the MeerKAT Archive | 14 |
| 8 Feedback Regarding MeerKAT | 14 |



8.1 SARAO

8.2 The SARAO Users Committee

ABBREVIATIONS

| CfP | Call for Proposals |
|-------|---|
| DDT | Director's Discretionary Time |
| DSRF | Distinguished SARAO Research Fellow |
| LSP | Large Survey Project |
| LST | Local Sidereal Time |
| MD | Managing Director |
| MPIfR | Max Planck Institute for Radio Astronomy |
| ОТ | Open Time |
| PI | Principal Investigator |
| QA | Quality Assessment |
| RFI | Radio Frequency Interference |
| SARAO | South African Radio Astronomy Observatory |
| SDP | Science Data Processor |
| тоо | Target of Opportunity |
| USE | User Supplied Equipment |
| XLP | Extra Large Proposal |

14 14



1 Introduction

This document summarizes guidelines relevant to using the South African Radio Astronomy Observatory (SARAO) MeerKAT telescope and to accessing its data for scientific and technical use. MeerKAT is a radio interferometer located in the Northern Cape province of South Africa (at 21 degrees East, 30 degrees South) consisting of 64 antennas with baselines of up to 8 km. It was inaugurated in 2018. Eventually it will be incorporated into the international SKA-Mid telescope. This document will be reviewed and updated as needed.

Currently MeerKAT has three sets of receivers: UHF (544-1088 MHz); L-band (856-1712 MHz); and S-band (1750-3500 MHz), the latter capability developed in a collaboration with the MPIfR. A variety of correlator modes exist for imaging applications, including wideband modes that cover the whole band (or ½ at S-band) with 4k or 32k frequency channels, and narrowband modes that (currently only for L-band) provide resolution as high as 1.6 kHz over a portion of the band. Beamformed modes are supported with a variety of User Supplied Equipment (USE) for pulsar and fast-transient applications. A comprehensive set of documentation and tools developed for MeerKAT users is available at SARAO's External Service Desk Knowledge Base.

In developing and applying the guidelines in this document, SARAO seeks to maximize the scientific impact of MeerKAT while contributing to South African scientific leadership and human capacity development.

2 Telescope Access Modalities

This section outlines all modalities through which MeerKAT data can ultimately be obtained. More details about each of these follow in sections 3–5 as relevant.

There are three kinds of proposals (Large Survey Projects, Open Time, Director's Discretionary Time) relevant to obtaining MeerKAT observing time that is awarded through competitive processes. These are described in section 2.1. Other telescope observing modalities are described in section 2.2.

2.1 Competed Telescope Time

2.1.1 Large Survey Projects (LSPs)

These were originally selected in 2010 following a Call for Proposals (CfP) issued in 2009 by SKA South Africa (the predecessor of SARAO), and eight of them [link] were again reviewed and ranked in 2017 [LSP review panel report]. These projects (each of which could use up to between approximately 1000 and 5000 hours of telescope time) were intended to use up to 67% of available competed telescope time over a period of 5 years. At this time, no more LSP CfPs are planned.

2.1.2 Open Time (OT)

During the first ~5 years of MeerKAT science operations, up to 28% of competed telescope time was to be awarded via multiple OT CfPs (this fraction increases as LSPs reach their 5-year terms). Currently, such CfPs are issued once per year.

The first OT CfP was issued in late 2018, was limited to relatively small amounts of telescope time (16 hours per proposal), and was restricted to South African-based Pls. Since 2020, <u>OT CfPs</u> allow for larger time allocations and are not restricted to South African-based Pls. Nevertheless, meaningful South African leadership and capacity development (of students and early career researchers, mindful of underrepresented groups) remains an important goal.



Researchers interested in MeerKAT observing opportunities but lacking meaningful interactions with South African colleagues are encouraged to develop such collaborations. If desired, SARAO can assist with introductions to potentially relevant South African researchers.

As of the 5th OT CfP, issued in June 2024, there are three types of OT proposals: *Regular* (requesting up to 100 hours of observing time including overheads), *Large* (requesting between 100 and 300 hours), and *XLP* (eXtra Large Proposals; requesting more than 300 hours). In addition, any of these types of proposals may also be *Multi-year* in character (e.g., for monitoring projects regardless of size, or because they are too large to schedule within one 12-month cycle). There are important constraints regarding Multi-year projects, owing in particular to the eventual integration of MeerKAT into SKA-Mid. Applicable details on the foregoing are noted in the documentation supporting each relevant CfP.

All OT proposals can include target-of-opportunity (TOO) observation requests that satisfy clearly defined triggering criteria.

2.1.3 Director's Discretionary Time (DDT)

Up to 5% of competed telescope time is available for small amounts of DDT observing time that fall principally into two categories:

- 1. Observations of unusual transients that could not have been proposed as TOO at a suitable OT CfP;
- 2. Observations that will likely lead to high impact publications in short order and/or that will assist with specific important South African capacity development outcomes.

2.2 Non-competed Telescope Time

For all modalities listed below, targets and prospective science if relevant are carefully selected so as to not unduly clash with those in previously approved active proposals.

Time on MeerKAT is scheduled by SARAO teams for a variety of activities (e.g., commissioning; observing for approved proposals), prioritized as needed to optimize a number of developmental and operational requirements.

2.2.1 Commissioning

This focuses on baseline characterization of key capabilities and performance of the telescope with a view to eventually doing science; while science may result from some commissioning observations (and even engineering and integration tests), it is not a substantial consideration in the selection of the targets.

2.2.2 Science verification

Science verification aims to test the telescope under more challenging conditions, seeking to push some limits to demonstrate what science capabilities MeerKAT has under a variety of conditions; certain targets are selected by the SARAO team with the understanding that if the observations substantially work, interesting science could result.

2.2.3 Miscellaneous observing classes

This includes those:

- 1. That seek to advance LSP or other large project readiness (and are not primarily science driven), done as needed in coordination between SARAO and the PIs;
- 2. Occasionally scheduled to trial new operational models (e.g., multi-facility coordinated campaigns);



3. Done to advance SARAO strategic goals (e.g., to ensure suitable continuity of key MeerKAT/SKA science projects).

2.2.4 SARAO Legacy Surveys

Owing to uncertain timelines especially during periods of heavy development of the telescope, substantial blocks of time can become available that do not fit into the above categories and that can not be used by already approved proposals. SARAO may use these opportunities, as it did particularly during 2018-19, to carry out legacy surveys, identified in a non-conflicted manner, that suitably exploit the available telescope capabilities.

2.2.5 Partner allocations

Substantial amounts of telescope time are to be used for:

- 1. Projects selected by the MPIfR in exchange for their contribution of S-band receivers to MeerKAT that are also available to the broader user community;
- 2. Projects selected jointly by the partners of the MeerKAT Extension project.

2.3 Availability of MeerKAT for Observations

Ordinarily, MeerKAT is considered fully available for imaging science when at least 58 antennas can be used for observations (depending on medium/long-term equipment availability, this threshold may be reduced). The array may also be partially available for science. MeerKAT or a part thereof may not be available for observations of any kind for a portion of the time due to maintenance related activities. Other special activities on Site may also curtail time available for observations. SARAO determines which of these states the telescope is in, subject to operational requirements.

3 Obtaining Telescope Time

In order to maximize the impact and diversity of MeerKAT science within its operational constraints, the specific science case of a new proposed project cannot unduly overlap with that of an active already approved project. However, individual proposals are approved for specific science goals using well-defined observing criteria on specific targets or areas (rather than, for instance, being given exclusive access to a particular portion of the sky or target class). There is therefore scope, for instance, for different teams to propose to observe overlapping sky locations for science cases with a different focus.

Prospective proposers should thus be familiar with active projects and their goals. SARAO maintains a list of approved MeerKAT projects [link], linking to external project web pages as relevant. Any remaining questions should be directed to SARAO via its <u>helpdesk</u> prior to proposal preparation. Potential conflicts identified will be adjudicated by SARAO with expert independent assistance as needed.

3.1 Open Time Proposals

3.1.1 Regular and Large OT proposals

OT proposals can only be submitted following a specific CfP. The documents accompanying the CfP provide specific details relevant to each particular Call as appropriate. In the case of changes or inconsistencies with respect to the contents of this document, the specific CfP details supersede the more general remarks here.

Each CfP document pack points prospective users to relevant technical documentation and tools to assist in proposal preparation. The general set of documentation and tools is available at any time [link]. Prospective



users are expected to familiarize themselves with these documents, including for instance to develop an understanding of the relevant RFI environment and how it might impact their observations. Any remaining questions should be directed to SARAO via its <u>helpdesk</u> well before proposal deadlines.

In addition to cover sheets (portions of which become public for approved projects), proposals generally consist of the following components (specific requirements are provided with each CfP documentation):

- 1. Scientific justification;
- Technical (including MeerKAT suitability) and time justification (including triggering criteria for TOOs if relevant; requested observing time must consider all applicable overheads including calibration and slewing);
- 3. Data processing and management plan, including available resources;
- 4. If applicable, the status of previous MeerKAT observations and/or relevant pilot projects.

Large OT proposals, which receive particular scrutiny, will ordinarily have to first demonstrate technical and/or scientific feasibility through the successful completion of smaller pilot studies. Depending on the details, such projects might be deemed to have already demonstrated readiness by comparison to prior successful suitably analogous projects.

Proposals conforming to the applicable criteria of the CfP are reviewed to consider scientific merit and feasibility as follows (relevant specific details applying to the review of proposals received in response to an OT CfP are published with the corresponding CfP documentation):

- Anonymous (typically non-SARAO) subject-matter expert science reviewers (selected by SARAO with relevant community input) review the Science Case of each OT proposal (containing the scientific and technical justifications) according to well-defined terms of reference. Currently the Science Case of Regular OT proposals is anonymized – *it must not contain information identifying the proposing team.*
- II. The technical and data management components of each proposal are ordinarily reviewed by SARAO staff (as needed SARAO may call on external expertise); these feed into consideration of the feasibility of the proposed project.
- III. A review panel appointed by the SARAO managing director (MD) according to well-defined terms of reference incorporates the above inputs and recommends to the MD a rank-ordered list of proposals suitable for scheduling on the telescope in the context of the specific OT CfP parameters.
- IV. After further taking into account all relevant constraints (e.g., pressure for particular LSTs or day/night-time observing), SARAO finally groups proposals into three classes: A (will be observed);
 B (may be observed); C (will not be observed). Following this, all PIs receive feedback on the disposition of their proposals.

3.1.2 XLPs

XLPs are different from Regular and Large proposals in several important respects:

- The proposals are not anonymized
- Their page limits are substantially larger
- They must *demonstrate* that the team is ready to *reduce and analyze their data at scale from day 1*
- They must contain a detailed public Data Release plan
- They are expected to contribute to the development of early career researchers, including students

Review of XLPs is expected to proceed in a broadly analogous manner to that for Regular and Large proposals, although the specific instructions, reviewers, panels, and timelines may differ. However, the feasibility of XLPs will receive particular scrutiny: without the *demonstration* of their readiness, they will not be selected, regardless of other strengths.



3.2 DDT Proposals

DDT proposals can be submitted at any time. Such proposals should be initiated by emailing <u>ddt@ska.ac.za</u> in accordance with the guidelines and procedures noted in the corresponding <u>DDT</u> <u>documentation</u>.

Due to time pressure, the process of reviewing DDT proposals is delegated to the SARAO chief scientist, who consults with subject-matter expert reviewers as relevant, and who may request clarifications from the PI.

After review, observations for approved DDT projects will be done as soon as feasible.

3.3 Commensality and Primary Science Goals

For the purposes of MeerKAT proposals and observing, commensality alludes to multiple science goals that can be addressed in parallel with one set of observations at no extra cost in telescope time, given suitable available technical and support resources. That is, it represents an opportunity for 'free' (aka piggy-back) science.

For instance, if some additional science can be done at the cost of more expensive calibration schemes, in this sense it's strictly not commensal. This doesn't mean that it shouldn't be done: e.g., two different meritorious projects done jointly using 110% of the telescope time that either one alone would require may well represent a good use of telescope time.

One option would be to propose for those two science cases jointly in one proposal requesting all the relevant observing time. Another option, given an already approved project for one science case, would be to consider fitting the second science case within the already approved time allocation, with possible adjustments to observing strategy.

3.3.1 Commensality within one proposal

A proposal may contain several key science goals considered to be of broadly equivalent importance during its review. Ordinarily, such an approved project will not be scheduled for a significant share of its total time allocation until all relevant telescope capabilities (e.g., correlator modes) are available to address the key approved science goals.

Conversely, a proposal may contain one or more primary science goals, with additional goals that are considered to be of secondary importance during the review process. In that case, such an approved project will start to be scheduled on a regular basis as soon as telescope capabilities are available to address the primary science goal(s), and will not be unduly delayed until all capabilities are available to address all science goals.

Individual proposals seeking to address multiple science goals commensally must be clear on which constitute primary versus secondary goals, if the distinction is relevant. Should questions arise in this regard following the review process, SARAO will seek clarity by engaging with the PIs and if necessary independent expert opinion.

3.3.2 Commensality across different projects

It is also possible that multiple projects (at least some of which have already been approved) could, together, form a commensal set, using the same set of observations to address multiple science goals by different teams. Such cases may require particularly close coordination between the different teams, and



possibly with SARAO to discuss the availability of all required resources. SARAO welcomes inquiries in this regard.

3.3.2.1 User Supplied Equipment in commensal mode

The MeerTRAP project ordinarily uses the TUSE backend to do commensal searches for transients with most MeerKAT observing projects. If an OT or DDT proposer believes that this might unduly clash with their science goals and would rather that not be the case, they must justify it in the proposal. Otherwise, TUSE will run commensally for the MeerTRAP team. The same applies to the Breakthrough Listen team using the BLUSE backend.

4 Data Access, Proprietary Periods, and Reporting Requirements

4.1 Data Quality Assessment and the MeerKAT Archive

In general a minimum data quality assessment (QA) threshold must be met before any science observations are released for distribution to end users. QA metrics are under continual investigation and may evolve. Also, MeerKAT is a very complex instrument; its understanding and characterization continues. Any performance expectations beyond those already characterized are on a best-effort/shared-risk basis.

Observations that fail to meet the minimum data QA threshold will be repeated on a best-effort basis. SARAO will work with end users to understand marginal cases and how these can be improved upon. Users are expected to do their part in interacting with SARAO operations teams to maximize the chance of successful observations – this includes *responding expeditiously to requests for submission of schedule blocks for approved projects.*

In general, the status of all completed observations will be visible through the public MeerKAT data archive interface [link]. In addition, the projects dashboard provides a view of all science observations done to date. Within relevant proprietary periods, data will be available for transfer from the archive by the PIs or their designates. After proprietary periods expire, the relevant datasets will be available to anyone. Some data (e.g., commensally collected with specialized User Supplied Equipment) may not be in the standard MeerKAT archive, and special data access constraints may apply.

Typically, data that are older than 6 months are moved from the spinning-disk archive to long-term magnetic-tape storage and removed from the spinning disk. Although data can be restaged from tape to disk, this may be time consuming. Ordinarily it is expected that the PIs of a project will retrieve their data from disk and start processing them soon after collection.

The MeerKAT data archive is the intended long-term repository for a variety of MeerKAT data products. The imaging data products provided consist of visibilities with basic flagging, calibration solutions and reports. Some continuum and spectral image cubes produced by the <u>SDP pipelines</u> are also available. These are primarily generated for QA purposes but are also useful for some science applications.

4.2 Data Use and Proprietary Periods

Relevant SARAO technical teams always have access to all data for QA purposes.

4.2.1 Competed telescope time

For PI-led proposals (LSP, OT, DDT; section 2.1), PIs or their designates have exclusive access to the data within the relevant proprietary period for the purposes for which it was collected (see also section 5).



PIs at their discretion have the option to waive proprietary rights for observations of immediate interest to a wider community. SARAO requests that in such instances PIs contact the observatory to discuss these opportunities.

4.2.1.1 Single-year OT proposals

In general these will have a 12-month proprietary period counting from the time at which the data corresponding to the last scheduling block of the project is made available to the PI.

Well-motivated longer proprietary periods (e.g., 18 months) will be considered by SARAO for approved proposals on a case-by-case basis where students are planned to use the project data as a significant part of their thesis. In such instances, the request must be accompanied by a thesis plan.

4.2.1.2 LSPs and Multi-year OT proposals

In general, very large multi-year projects are expected to have periodic data releases. All data releases should be advertised/hosted through relevant project web pages. Proprietary periods by default are 12 months following completion of yearly 'observing seasons' as relevant, but well-motivated exceptions will be considered on a case-by-case basis by SARAO following discussion with the PIs.

4.2.1.3 DDT projects

By default DDT projects will have a 3-month proprietary period, but for transient objects the period may be set to as short as 0 (i.e., data is immediately available to anyone), and in exceptional circumstances (e.g., relating to student projects) it may be increased.

4.2.2 Non-competed telescope time

For non-competed telescope time datasets (section <u>2.2</u>), proprietary periods are considered on a case-by-case basis, with the general goal to hew close to a 1-year period following release to the end users, while mindful of the underlying aims of each type of observation (for certain datasets there may be no proprietary period). During the proprietary period, if any, data access and publication are governed by the following guidelines.

4.2.2.1 The MeerKAT Builders List

This refers to those people who over an extended period of time have contributed significantly to the planning, design, construction, commissioning, and operation of MeerKAT. The builders list is updated as needed by SARAO.

A limited number of key publications based on non-PI-led data (cf. sections <u>2.2.1</u>–<u>2.2.4</u>) is open to opt-in co-authorship by members of the builders list (see <u>Nature 573, 235</u> for the first such example). The SARAO chief scientist and the lead author of a proposed publication based on such data will jointly determine whether it qualifies as a key publication for this purpose.

4.2.2.2 Commissioning and science verification observations

Commissioning and science verification observations, including engineering and integration tests (sections 2.2.1-2.2.2), following relevant analysis and internal reports, may be available for science exploitation.

- 1. Suitable SARAO team members (including as relevant external colleagues involved in the development of the telescope) have precedence. At any given time, each will typically be leading the analysis and preparation for publication of no more than one such dataset. This process is coordinated within the SARAO science division.
- Otherwise, such datasets are available to external-led teams, with the possible participation by relevant SARAO staff (see <u>Astronomy & Astrophysics 628</u>, A122 for a publication resulting from such analysis). Interested colleagues should contact SARAO, and details are to be agreed with



SARAO on a case-by-case basis.

3. Some of these datasets may be used to support strategic partnerships (e.g. development in African partner countries), and to assist with South African capacity development.

4.2.2.3 Miscellaneous observing classes

Access to data acquired under miscellaneous observing opportunities (section <u>2.2.3</u>) depends on the details underlying those observations.

For example, access to data resulting from LSP preparatory observations (section <u>2.2.3</u>-1) is restricted to LSP and SARAO commissioning teams, and any resulting science exploitation would be led by LSP team members with possible participation by relevant SARAO science team members. Other examples in this class will be handled on a case-by-case basis.

4.2.2.4 SARAO legacy surveys

The exploitation of SARAO legacy surveys (section 2.2.4) will in general be done over several years by diverse teams from the community at large. In developing the appropriate parameters to address such datasets, SARAO will first consult with relevant South African-based teams to establish local interest, expertise, and availability, and then consult more widely as needed. Full or partial datasets may be made publicly available after only limited exploration, in order to encourage broader exploitation.

4.2.2.5 Partner allocations

Access to data from partner allocations (section 2.2.5) is limited to members of the respective collaborations, within their applicable proprietary periods.

4.2.3 Calibrator data

Datasets available to users can contain a number of visits to a variety of calibrator targets and fields. Depending on details, some of the calibrator data may be useful in its own right for science exploitation that is not directly linked to the approved goals of any specific proposal. The following guidelines apply to users interested in exploiting such data for scientific purposes:

- 1. If the time spent on the relevant calibrator visits was charged to the associated project time allocation, the PI team in principle has priority to access these data for science exploitation, after coordinating with SARAO as noted in section <u>5</u>.
- 2. Other users wishing to use any calibrator datasets for science exploitation should discuss their requests with SARAO.

4.2.4 Technical use of data

- After approval by SARAO, suitable observations may be shared with external teams for technical purposes (e.g., development of imaging algorithms that will eventually aid multiple science users). Resulting publications should be coordinated with SARAO, and may include relevant SARAO staff members.
- 2. SARAO staff members who lead projects to characterize specific aspects of the telescope or its environment (e.g., holography; RFI) can lead resulting technical publications.
- 3. MeerKAT sensor data may be made available for technical studies.
- 4. Serendipitous scientific discoveries arising from data shared for technical use must be discussed with SARAO prior to publication.

Those interested in the above use cases should contact SARAO to discuss details.

4.3 Reporting Requirements and Reviews

All LSPs are required to submit periodic reports to SARAO indicating progress against approved scientific and capacity development goals. Ordinarily these reports will be submitted approximately yearly, but their specific timing and contents will be discussed with the PIs by SARAO. The reports will be reviewed with external expert assistance as relevant, and the outcome of these SARAO reviews will be used as one input in determining subsequent time allocations. Ultimately, it is possible that following such reviews some LSPs or some of their components could be curtailed.

Typically, most successful Multi-year OT projects will have telescope time approved only for the 12-month term immediately connected to the corresponding CfP; in order to continue for subsequent years they must generally be resubmitted and their merit (including as relevant indication of suitable progress) evaluated against all other OT projects submitted in response to the corresponding CfPs. Some exceptional Multi-year OT projects may conditionally be approved for multi-year time allocations; in such cases, annual reports may be required in order to assess progress against goals, which will be used to help determine subsequent time allocations. Relevant OT CfP documentation may provide more details.

In addition, SARAO welcomes feedback from its users regarding any MeerKAT data, and may request updates from PIs upon completion of any projects.

5 Serendipity and Coordination

MeerKAT data are provided to PIs primarily for specific approved science goals. MeerKAT's relatively large field of view and great sensitivity make it possible that in some instances data collected for a particular project with specific science goals may be useful for another team working (or proposing to work) on a different project.

For instance, a DDT project observing a gamma-ray burst (GRB) might by happenstance collect imaging data in an OT proposal field that could be useful for the OT team. In that case, the OT team could have access to the DDT data for their specific approved purposes (and the DDT team may not analyze the data for such purposes). On the other hand, if the DDT team detects a transient unrelated to the GRB, provided that it doesn't unduly clash with another already approved project, the DDT team is encouraged to exploit that serendipity, in cooperation with other teams as relevant.

In order to encourage the exploration of serendipity while being protective of all approved projects and users, PIs are *required* to contact SARAO before they exploit or consider sharing their datasets for purposes beyond those previously explicitly approved. This can be done through the <u>helpdesk</u>. SARAO will consider the request in light of active projects with a view to approving it if no specific clash is identified or foreseen.

6 Distinguished SARAO Research Fellows

Distinguished SARAO Research Fellows (DSRFs) are very experienced radio astronomers who can contribute significantly to the development or improved understanding of MeerKAT, and who are willing to contribute substantial time for these activities over extended periods of time. Suitable interested candidates will be appointed by SARAO.

While active, DSRFs will at a minimum have data access rights equivalent to those of SARAO staff as outlined in section <u>4.2.2</u>. Additional access is possible, to be discussed as relevant on a case-by-case basis.

SARAO welcomes contact from anyone who may have the experience and availability to contribute to improving MeerKAT, to discuss relevant opportunities for collaboration.



7 Publications, Acknowledgements, and Advanced Data Products

7.1 Publications Using MeerKAT Data

Users are requested to provide SARAO with bibliographic details concerning published refereed articles and completed theses that contain or are based on MeerKAT data, by emailing <u>publications@ska.ac.za</u>. A list of accepted refereed papers containing MeerKAT data is maintained in the <u>MeerKAT ADS Library</u>. If papers are deemed to have potential interest for the general press, authors are encouraged to contact SARAO in advance of publication.

7.2 Acknowledging MeerKAT Data in Publications

All authors are required to include the following acknowledgement statement in any publication containing MeerKAT data:

The MeerKAT telescope is operated by the South African Radio Astronomy Observatory, which is a facility of the National Research Foundation, an agency of the Department of Science and Innovation.

Publications making use of the S-band receivers should in addition contain the acknowledgement statement provided in the <u>S-band capability</u> page; while publications making use of any User Supplied Equipment should also contain the relevant acknowledgement statements provided in their <u>technical pages</u>.

7.3 User-Generated Data Products and the MeerKAT Archive

SARAO wishes to collaborate with MeerKAT users to ingest user-generated data products/releases into the public MeerKAT archive, ordinarily once their primary exploitation of the data is complete or suitable publications have resulted [link]. This enhances the legacy value of MeerKAT. Interested users can contact SARAO at any time to initiate relevant discussions.

8 Feedback Regarding MeerKAT

Users and prospective users of MeerKAT with questions or comments are encouraged to contact SARAO or the SARAO Users Committee as relevant.

8.1 SARAO

SARAO welcomes questions and feedback regarding any matters relating to MeerKAT. General questions can be submitted through the <u>helpdesk</u>. Any feedback concerning these guidelines can be addressed to the SARAO chief scientist, who in general is delegated with the authority to implement them. Relevant matters will be referred to the SARAO MD, whose decision concerning any disputes is final.

8.2 The SARAO Users Committee

The SARAO Users Committee was established in order to advise the SARAO MD and staff on all aspects of SARAO activities that affect the users and potential users of its facilities, including MeerKAT [link].